

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, the specification and claims 1-8 have been amended with claims 9-14 being added. No new matter is being presented and approval and entry of the amendments are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 are pending in the application.

DRAWING OBJECTION:

FIG. 6 is amended by way of an accompanying Letter to the Examiner Requesting Correction to the Drawings to label same as prior art. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding drawing objection are respectfully requested.

OBJECTION TO THE CLAIMS:

Claim 5 now depends from claim 4. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding claim objection are respectfully requested.

REQUEST FOR NEW, NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION:

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Walker, U.S. Patent No. 5,335,168. All claims are indicated as being "rejected" by way of the Amendment cover sheet, and claims 4-8 are discussed in the Office Action with regard to

"inherency." However, it is not clear exactly "why" claims 4-8 apparently stand rejected by the Examiner.

Because it is respectfully asserted below that the claims define over the applied art, the above request should be rendered moot. However, because Applicants were not particularly advised as to the nature of the rejection, e.g. anticipation, obviousness, indefiniteness, written description, etc., it is respectfully requested that any subsequent Office Action should have "non-final status."

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Walker, U.S. Patent No. 5,335,168. The following arguments address that all claims are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious over the applied art. In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1-8 have been amended to improve form.

By way of review, the presently claimed invention is characterized by having a CRT controller which is independent from a personal computer. The feature is neither taught nor suggested by way of the applied art. Moreover, this feature is important to practice of the present invention because lower power consumption of the personal computer is achieved in low power consumption mode. In other words, the processor of the computer may also power down. Moreover, the presently claimed invention is characterized in having a memory to store a screen saving program not in the personal computer itself but rather in the display. This feature is neither taught nor suggested.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding anticipation rejection are respectfully requested. Furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that the applied art fails to render the claimed invention obvious.

Approval, entry and allowance of claims 1-8 are respectfully requested.

NEW CLAIMS 9-14:

New claims 9-14 particularly relate to the features set forth above. In particular, claim 9 emphasizes a rewritable memory unit separate from a random access memory, and a display control unit separate from a graphic controller. The display control unit transmits a control signal to instruct the computer not to operate for a predetermined time period. Claim 10 and the claims depending therefrom emphasize a display control unit, independent from a central processing unit, and displaying screen protecting image data. Claim 14 is a method claim related to same.

Approval, entry and allowance of new claims 9-14 are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION:

Accordingly, there being no other outstanding objections or rejections, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance which action is earnestly solicited.

Serial No.: 09/124,231


Docket No.: 1046.1185/TEM

If any further fees are required in connection with the filing of this Amendment, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY, LLP

Date: 12 / 4 / 00

By: 
Todd E. Marlette
Registration No. 35,269

700 Eleventh Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501